UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,876	05/23/2007	Robert Ian Renton Blyth	Q94363	2221
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 09/22/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	BOURKE, ALLISON		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/575,876	BLYTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allison Bourke	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
·	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 April 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☑ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
-						
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/17/2006, 5/23/2007</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

1. Claims 1-15 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-8, 10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakamura (EP 1117137).

Regarding claim 1, Nakamura discloses an electroactive photonic device (Fig. 1, [0012]) comprising a substrate (50a), at least one cathode layer (10a), at least one anode layer (40a), and at least one layer of active material (20) based on organic compounds [0046-0047] and interposed at least partially between the anode and cathode layers (Fig. 1), the said layers being arranged on the substrate (Fig. 1) in a predetermined configuration such that the device can convert luminous energy into electrical energy [0006], characterized in that the substrate is made of float glass [0028].

Regarding claims 2-8, 10, 12 and 14, Nakamura additionally discloses

- the substrate is made of tempered glass [0070]
- the electrode layers (10a, 40a) that are interposed between the substrate (50a) and the layer of active material (20) are transparent to luminous radiation [0012].

Application/Control Number: 10/575,876

Art Unit: 1795

- the cathode and anode layers (10a, 40a) are transparent to luminous radiation [0012].

- the transparent electrode layers (10a, 40a) are made of metal [0025, 0060] and are thin enough to allow light to be transmitted through them [0062].

Page 3

- the anode layers (40a) are made of gold [0025]
- the cathode layers (10a) are made of aluminum [0060]
- a hole-transport layer (30) interposed between the anode layers (40a) and the layer of active material (20)
- an electron-transport layer (60, [0064]) interposed between the cathode layers (10a) and the layer of active material (20)
- the electroactive photonic device characterized in that it is at least partially covered by an encapsulation layer (second, top 50a in Fig. 1)
- the electroactive photonic device configured as a solar cell [0012]
- 4. Claims 1, 10-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marks et al. (US 2003/0118865).

Regarding claim 1, Marks discloses an electroactive photonic device (Fig. 2A, [0010, 0030]) comprising a substrate (glass), at least one cathode layer (cathode), at least one anode layer (anode), and at least one layer of active material (organic conductive layer, [0010]) based on organic compounds [0010] and interposed at least partially between the anode and cathode layers (Fig. 2A, [0010]), the said layers being arranged on the substrate (Fig. 2A) in a predetermined configuration such that the

device can convert electrical energy into luminous energy [0010], characterized in that the substrate is made of float glass [0029].

Regarding claims 10-11 and 13, Marks additionally discloses

 an electron-transport layer (ETL) interposed between the cathode layers and the layer of active material (Fig. 2A)

Page 4

- the electron-transport layer is made of aluminum tris-8-hydroxyquinoline [0030]
- the electroactive photonic device configured as a light-emitting device [0010]
- 5. Claims 1, 8, 10, 12-13 and 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tahon et al. (US 6309901).

Regarding claim 1, Tahon discloses an electroactive photonic device (OLED, C7/L52-59, C8/L26-45) comprising a substrate (glass plate, C8/L26-45), at least one cathode layer (reflecting anode, C8/L26-45), at least one anode layer (transparent anode, C8/L26-45), and at least one layer of active material (electroluminescent polymer, C8/L26-45) based on organic compounds (C8/L26-45) and interposed at least partially between the anode and cathode layers (C8/L26-45), the said layers being arranged on the substrate (C8/L26-45) in a predetermined configuration such that the device can convert electrical energy into luminous energy (inherent of OLEDs, C7/L52-59) characterized in that the substrate is made of float glass (C3/L19-20).

Regarding claims 8, 10, 12-13 and 15, Tahon additionally discloses

Art Unit: 1795

 a hole-transport layer (hole transport layer) interposed between the anode layers (transparent anode) and the layer of active material (light emitting layer, C8/L26-45)

- an electron-transport layer (electron transport layer) interposed between the cathode layers (reflecting anode) and the layer of active material (light emitting layer, C8/L26-45)
- the electroactive photonic device characterized in that it is at least partially covered by an encapsulation layer (sandwiched between two glass plates, C8/L26-45)
- the electroactive photonic device configured as a light-emitting device (C7/L52-59)
- light-emitting system, in particular, for illumination or indication, characterized in that it comprises a plurality of devices, arranged in a predetermined configuration (color OLEDs can be made by stacking three such basic cells on top of each other, C8/L26-45)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1795

7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakamura (EP 1117137) in view of Marks et al. (US 2003/0118865).

Regarding claim 9, Nakamura discloses all the claim limitations as set forth above but does not disclose the hole-transport layer is made of PEDOT-PSS, TPD, PBD, or CuPc.

Marks discloses an electroactive photonic device (Fig. 2A, [0010, 0030]) comprising a substrate (glass), at least one cathode layer (cathode), at least one anode layer (anode), and at least one layer of active material (organic conductive layer, [0010])

based on organic compounds [0010] and interposed at least partially between the anode and cathode layers (Fig. 2A, [0010]), the said layers being arranged on the substrate (Fig. 2A) in a predetermined configuration such that the device can convert electrical energy into luminous energy [0010], characterized in that the substrate is made of float glass [0029]. Marks additionally discloses a hole transporting layer (HTL, [0030]) comprising TPD.

These references are analogous because both art is directed towards electroactive photonic devices with substrates, cathodes, anodes, an organic active layer, electron transporting and hole transporting layers.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use TPD in the hole transport layer in the device of Nakamura as taught by Marks because it would amount to use of a known element for its intended use in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected result.

Regarding claim 11, Nakamura discloses all the claim limitations as set forth above but does not disclose the electron-transport layer is made of aluminum tris-8-hydroxyquinoline.

Marks discloses an electroactive photonic device (Fig. 2A, [0010, 0030]) comprising a substrate (glass), at least one cathode layer (cathode), at least one anode layer (anode), and at least one layer of active material (organic conductive layer, [0010]) based on organic compounds [0010] and interposed at least partially between the anode and cathode layers (Fig. 2A, [0010]), the said layers being arranged on the substrate (Fig. 2A) in a predetermined configuration such that the device can convert

electrical energy into luminous energy [0010], characterized in that the substrate is made of float glass [0029]. Marks additionally discloses a electron transporting layer (HTL, [0030]) comprising aluminum tris-8-hydroxyquinoline [0030].

These references are analogous because both art is directed towards electroactive photonic devices with substrates, cathodes, anodes, an organic active layer, electron transporting and hole transporting layers.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use aluminum tris-8-hydroxyquinoline in the electron transport layer in the device of Nakamura as taught by Marks because it would amount to use of a known element for its intended use in a known environment to accomplish entirely expected result.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allison Bourke whose telephone number is (571)270-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:30am-5pm and every other Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Basia Ridley can be reached on (571) 272-1453. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nam X Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1753

/A. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795